DEIS II TO GUIDELINES ATTACHMENT 9

DEIS II TASK ORDER EVALUATION

To be completed by the Task Monitor (TM) each quarter and at the completion of the task order to evaluate the contractor's performance. Completed evaluations are to be forwarded to the Contracting Officer at task order close-out.

<u>Instructions for Completing the Contractor Evaluation Forms</u>

We have devised the evaluation forms scoring to make them easily understood by providing numerical ratings based on a 100 point system. The following is a comparison of numerical scores to the corresponding adjectival ratings:

90 - 100 = Excellent 80 - 89 = Good 70 - 79 = Acceptable 60 - 69 = Marginal 59 and below = Poor

| Contract Number | 2. TO Number | | 3. TO Title | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|------|
| 4. TO Award Date | 5. TO Completion Date | | | 6. Total Cost | | |
| 8.a. Prime Contractor | | | | | | |
| | 8.b. Principa | al Subcontra | ictor(s) (list all tha | t worked on the | ГО) | |
| 9. TO Evaluation | | | | | | |
| 5 (D. !) | TECHNICA | | | | _ | 21/0 |
| Factors/Ratings a. Completion of major tasks/milestones/deliverables on schedule | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Marginal | Poor | N/A |
| o. Responsiveness to changes in technical direction | | | | | | |
| c. Ability to identify risk factors and alterna- tives for alleviating risk | | | | | | |
| d. Ability to identify risk and solve problems expeditiously | | | | | | |
| e. Ability to employ standard tools/methods (e.g., standards, commercial products, information engineering tools) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| ments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DEIS II TO GUIDELINES ATTACHMENT 9

| | MANAGEME | ENT PERFO | RMANCE | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|------|-----|
| Factors/Ratings | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Marginal | Poor | N/A |
| f. Overall communication with the Govern- | | | | | | |
| ment | | | | | | |
| g. Effectiveness and reliability of contractor's | | | | | | |
| key personnel | | | | | | |
| h. Ability to recruit and maintain qualified | | | | | | |
| personnel | | | | | | |
| i. Ability to manage multiple and diverse | | | | | | |
| projects/tasks from planning through execu- | | | | | | |
| tion | | | | | | |
| j. Ability to effectively manage subcontractors | | | | | | |
| k. Ability to meet goals for use of Small, | | | | | | |
| Small Disadvantaged and Woman-Owned | | | | | | |
| Small Business subcontractors | | | | | | |
| Ability to accurately estimate and control | | | | | | |
| cost to complete tasks | | | | | | |
| m. Overall performance in planning, sched- | | | | | | |
| uling and monitoring | | | | | | |
| n. Use of management tools (e.g. | | | | | | |
| cost/schedule, task management tools) | | | | | | |
| mments: | | | | | | |
| | CUSTOM | ER SATISF | ACTION | | | |
| Factors/Ratings | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Marginal | Poor | N/A |
| o. How would you rate the contractor's overall | | | | | | |
| technical performance on this order? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| q. How would you rat to be cooperative, bus cerned with the interes | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|--|
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| 10. List the Major | Technical Deliverables delivered of | during this TO: | | | Date Deliverable | |
| Title | Description | | Date Due | Date Received | Evaluation Submitted | |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| ა | | | | | | |
| Г. | | | | | | |
| 11. TM Name | 12. TN | // Signature | | | 13. Date | |
| 14. Contractor Comments | :: | | | | | |

p. How would you rate the contractor's overall management performance on this order?

DEIS II TO GUIDELINES ATTACHMENT 9